Please amend the claims as follows. This listing of claims will replace all prior

versions and Listings of Claims in the application:

**Listing of Claims:** 

Claim 1 (Currently Amended): A method for creating a test summary report,

comprising the operations of:

executing a computer software test application on a platform, the computer software

test application having one or more test suites, each test suit including one or more test cases,

each test case configured to test an aspect of the platform;

generating test results in an Extensible Markup Language (XML) enabled format

independent of an XML format capability of the platform; and

using the XML enabled test results to create a test summary report,

wherein the XML enabled test results is capable of being rearranged, the rearranged

XML enabled test results including test suite tags, each test suite tag encapsulating the test

results corresponding to each test suite of the computer software test application.

Claim 2 (Original): A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the test results are

generated utilizing a status utility having functions that generate XML code.

Claim 3 (Original): A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the test results are

output to a test execution log file, the test execution log file including a log of the test

execution.

Claim 4 (Original): A method as recited in claim 3, further including the operation

of processing the test execution log file to generate a well-formed XML test reports file.

Claim 5 (Original): A method as recited in claim 4, wherein the well-formed XML

test reports file is further valid with respect to a Test document type definition (DTD).

Claim 6 (Previously Presented): A method as recited in claim 4, wherein a

content of the well-formed XML test reports file is reordered so as to create a logically

arranged XML test reports file.

Claim 7 (Original): A method as recited in claim 6, wherein the logically arranged

XML test reports file includes test suite tags indicating test reports that belong to particular

test suites.

Claim 8 (Previously Presented): A method as recited in claim 6, wherein the test

summary report is generated by converting the logically arranged XML test reports file into a

Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) test summary report.

Claim 9 (Original): A method as recited in claim 8, wherein the HTML test

summary report provides a test summary of the test execution log file.

Claim 10 (Original): A method as recited in claim 9, wherein the HTML test

summary report includes links to failure description pages, wherein the failure description

pages provide a detailed description of a particular test failure.

Claim 11 (Currently Amended):

An Extensible Markup Language (XML) based

report generator, comprising:

Attorney Docket No: SUNMP024

Page 3 of 9

a parser that processes a test execution log file to generate a well-formed XML test

reports file, wherein the test execution log file includes XML statements generated

independent of an XML format capability of a platform being tested;

a logical parser operable to use the well-formed XML test reports file to reorder a

content of the well-formed XML test reports file to produce a logically arranged XML test

reports file, the logically arranged XML test reports file including test suite tags encapsulating

the test results corresponding to each test suite; and

an HTML converter parser that converts the logically arranged XML test reports file

into an HTML test summary file.

Claim 12 (Previously Presented): An XML based report generator as recited in

claim 11, wherein the well-formed XML test reports file is valid with respect to a Test

document type definition (DTD).

Claim 13 (Cancelled)

Claim 14 (Previously Presented): An XML based report generator as recited in

claim 11, wherein the HTML test summary report provides a test summary of the test

execution log file.

Claim 15 (Previously Presented): An XML based report generator as recited in

claim 14, wherein the HTML test summary report includes links to failure description pages,

wherein the failure description pages provide a detailed description of a particular test failure.

Claim 16 (Currently Amended):

A method for creating a test summary report,

comprising the operations of:

Attorney Docket No: SUNMP024

Page 4 of 9

executing a test application on a platform, wherein the test application is executed

using a status utility having functions that generate XML code, the test application having one

or more test suites, each test suite having one or more test cases, each test case configured to

test an aspect of the platform;

generating test results in an Extensible Markup Language (XML) enabled format

independent of an XML format capability of the platform using the status utility, wherein the

test results are output to a test execution log file;

using the test execution log file to generate a well-formed XML test reports file;

reordering a content of the well-formed XML test reports file to create a logically

arranged XML test reports file wherein test suite tags are generated for each test suite, each

test suite tag encapsulating the test results corresponding to each test suite; and

converting the logically arranged XML test reports file into an HTML test summary

report.

Claim 17 (Original): A method as recited in claim 16, wherein the well-formed XML

test reports file is further valid with respect to a Test document type definition (DTD).

Claim 18 (Cancelled)

Claim 19 (Original): A method as recited in claim 16, wherein the HTML test

summary report provides a test summary of the test execution log file.

Claim 20 (Original): A method as recited in claim 19, wherein the HTML test

summary report includes links to failure description pages, wherein the failure description

pages provide a detailed description of a particular test failure.

Attorney Docket No: SUNMP024

Page 5 of 9

Claim 21 (Currently Amended):

A computer generated method of generating and

processing test results, comprising the operations of:

executing one or more tests from a plurality of test suites and generating test

execution log file wherein each test result includes data identifying the test to which the test

result relates and the test suite to which the test belongs, each test suite of the plurality of test

suites having one or more test cases, each test case configured to test an aspect of the platform;

processing the test execution log file to generate a well-formed XML based test

reports file independent of an XML format capability of a platform being tested, wherein the

test results in the test reports file are arrange as a plurality of independent test results, each

including a test ID and data identifying a test suite to which a test belongs;

processing the well-formed XML test reports file to logically arrange the well-formed

XML test reports file to create a logically arranged XML test reports file in which tags are

generated for each test suite the tags encapsulating the test results corresponding to each test

suite; and

outputting the logically arranged well-formed XML test reports file.

Attorney Docket No: SUNMP024

Page 6 of 9